	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Bromer	
	09/995,097	<u> </u>	Art Unit	
Interview Summary	Examiner Gerald Klo	ebe	3618	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative		Bromer/ Inven	tor Pro Se	
1) Brian L. Johnson/SPE-AU 3618 USPTO				
(2) Gerald B. Klebe/Examiner USPTO				
Date of Interview				
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Confere c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☒ app Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ MODEL OF (NVENTION WAS DISTONESTMAN) OF THE DISCLOSED (NVENTION O	Yes Alexander Alexander	CONS 100	ary Ropal	Angoz Sandinu
Claim(s) discussed: CLAIM 1.				
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discussed: (15 6053511; U 5 5609346; U55132 Agreement with respect to the claims f) was	2231			
Substance of Interview including description of the any other comments: DISCUSSION OF I) POTTON OF INEX	e general nature of what was a support of the suppo	Nas agreed to	AS PECTS OF	שד עב
3) ((1) 1 1 1 1	77 1 441 1 444 2	- // 12	ATME TO F	DF
3) LE-CONSIDERA	AMONDAIN TO BE	PRESU	7D	A ROADW
TO EVABLETANT AND PRIME NOTE: FOAM (Rej) DID NOT	NT TO DISALA	-E- " ON	THESE FOR	73 \
TO EVASCETALA STATE OF ALSE	INCUDE ENTREMON	1/12/13) REJECTAL	·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the structure of a structure of a structure of the struct	the amendments which to where no copy of the ame	endments that	would render the	claims allowabl
available, a summary thereof most a		ne substance	of the interview (f box is checked
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provi Unless the paragraph above has been checked, T INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE M SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summar	(See MPEP section 713	.04). If a rep	ly to the last Office	MENT OF THE
·			Mr Dala	15 max

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required